



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,976	11/20/2003	Linda Thorne	850136.411	8001
500	7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
SEED INTE	LLECTUAL PROPE	GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE		
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,976	THORNE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeanine A. Goldberg	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of th	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/717,976 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-15, drawn to a method for purifying gellan, classified in class
 435, subclass 6.
 - Claims 16-42, drawn to a gellan composition, classified in class 204, subclass 469.
 - III. Claims 43, 45-48, drawn to a method of performing electrophoresis, classified in class 204, subclass 456.
 - IV. Claims 44, drawn to an electrophoresis apparatus, classified in class 204, subclass 606.
 - Claims 49-54, drawn to a composition comprising imidazole, classified in class 204, subclass 489.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- A) Inventions II and IV and V are patentably distinct products. The gellan of Group I is distinct from the buffer comprising imidazole and the apparatus of Group IV. Each of the products may be used in materially different methods and are made of materially different elements.
- B) Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

Application/Control Number: 10/717,976

Art Unit: 1634

process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the gellan may be made by a materially different method. The gellan may be made without any prior contact with DNAse or DNA material to obtain a pure composition.

Page 3

- C) The inventions of Group I and III are patentably distinct methods because they each have different objectives, different uses, different reagents and different method steps. The method of Group I is for purifying gellan. Alternatively, the method of Group III is for performing electrophoresis. Furthermore, the distinct steps and products require separate and distinct searches. Therefore the methods are distinct over one another.
- D) Groups II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the gellan may be used in a materially different methods such as storage, for example.
- E) Group (I and IV) and Group (I and V) are patentable distinct inventions because the apparatus of Group IV is not relied upon in the method of Group I. Instead Group uses gellan. Additionally the buffer of Group V is not relied upon in the method of Group I. Therefore, the inventions are novel and unobvious over one another.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,976 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further a search of each of these inventions would not be coextensive of a search for each of the other inventions.

Notice for Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is

found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,976

Art Unit: 1634

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272- 0745.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg
Primary Examiner
December 20, 2005